

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

**IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Master File No. CV-07-5944-SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

**ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS**

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR CLASS REPRESENTATIVE  
INCENTIVE AWARDS**

Date: October 23, 2015  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti  
Courtroom: 1

1 The Court, having reviewed Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Representative  
 2 Incentive Awards (September 11, 2015) ("Motion"), the pleadings and other papers on file in this  
 3 action, and the statements of counsel and the parties, hereby finds that:

4 1. The Motion requests incentive awards for the Class Representatives of \$25,000  
 5 each,<sup>1</sup> for a total of \$250,000.

6 2. Pursuant to *Radcliffe v. Experion Information Solutions*, 715 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir.  
 7 2013), the Court has carefully considered the requested incentive awards. The Court deems the  
 8 application for incentive awards reasonable and justified given: (1) the risks—reputational,  
 9 financial, and otherwise—faced by class representatives in bringing this lawsuit; and (2) the work  
 10 performed and the active participation in the litigation and settlement processes by the class  
 11 representatives on behalf of members of the class.

12 3. In sum, upon consideration of the Motion and accompanying Declarations, and  
 13 based upon all matters of record including the pleadings and papers filed in this action, the Court  
 14 hereby finds that incentive awards are appropriate given the time and effort expended by the Class  
 15 Representatives in the prosecution of this case.

16 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that:

17 4. The Class Representatives—Crago, d/b/a Dash Computers, Inc.; Arch Electronics,  
 18 Inc.; Hawel A. Hawel, d/b/a City Electronics; Meijer, Inc. and Meijer Distribution, Inc.; Nathan  
 19 Muchnick, Inc.; Princeton Display Technologies, Inc.; Radio & TV Equipment, Inc.; Royal Data  
 20 Services, Inc.; Studio Spectrum, Inc.; and Wettstein and Sons, Inc. d/b/a Wettstein's—shall each  
 21 receive an incentive award in the amount of \$25,000.

22 5. The incentive awards shall be paid from the Settlement Fund and the interest earned  
 23 thereon.

24  
 25 <sup>1</sup> The Class Representatives named in the DPPs' Consolidated Amended Complaint ("DPCAC")  
 26 for which incentive awards are sought are: (1) Crago, d/b/a Dash Computers, Inc.; (2) Arch  
 27 Electronics, Inc.; (3) Hawel A. Hawel, d/b/a City Electronics; (4) Meijer, Inc. and Meijer  
 28 Distribution, Inc.; (5) Nathan Muchnick, Inc.; (6) Princeton Display Technologies, Inc.; (7) Radio  
 & TV Equipment, Inc.; (8) Royal Data Services, Inc.; (9) Studio Spectrum, Inc.; and (10) Wettstein  
 and Sons, Inc. d/b/a Wettstein's.

1           6.       This order shall be entered of this date pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules  
2 of Civil Procedure, the Court finding that there is no just reason for delay.

3  
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

7  
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 HON. SAMUEL CONTI  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28